

Alias Barack Obama


"A lie is born"



The greatest identity fraud in history

Dr. Ronald J. Polland, PhD

©2008-2011 by Ronald J. Polland, PhD



Alias Barack Obama"
The greatest identity fraud in history
Volume One: "A lie is born"

Dr. Ronald J. Polland

© Copyright 2008-2011
by Dr. Ronald J. Polland, PhD
All rights reserved

*No part of this work may be copied or reproduced
in any way without the express written permission
of the author*

Interactive PDF Edition

Table of Contents

Alias Barack Obama?	6
Chapter I: The One is born	10
___ The false flags of Obama's "Republic"	33
Chapter II: Fact checking media frauds	41
Chapter III: Anatomy of a forgery	94
___ What real COLB scans look like	113
___ "Schemes From My Forger"	133
___ The COLB War begins	141
___ <i>Scorn in the USA</i> : Factcheck's photo forgery	168
Chapter IV: Blue Hawaii caught red-handed	189
Prelude to Volume Two: <i>The Pro-Palestinian President</i>	200
NOTES AND REFERENCES	203

Acknowledgements

There are many who played an important role in the creation of this book, from those closest to me to those who tried to destroy me. However, very few will know how much of my life has been devoted to this project, the sacrifices I have had to make, and the fact that the sale of this book will be the first time that I have accepted a single penny for any of my efforts.

During this time, I learned a lot about myself and other people that I never would have learned otherwise. I am not a political person, but in the last decade, I have come to see that matters of life and death and the future of my family and this country are not about politics, but people. It is too easy to not get involved, to sluff it off and say, "I cannot make a difference."

I do not believe that, not after everything I have witnessed, first-hand, in the past three years. There are more who feel the same way as I do. Each of us must make a choice, no matter how we view the world now, whether or not we are looking through rose-colored glasses. Are we only being told what we want to hear because the truth is too uncomfortable to bear?

I believe that we have to be in pursuit of the truth as an external, definable concept. Truth is not transitory. Truth is not decided by consensus or by a few "experts." Truth is not situational or contextual. Truth does not belong to any one group – it belongs to all of us. That is why we cannot entrust it to anyone else. It is up to each one of us to relentlessly pursue the truth.

I wish to thank my wife and family, my friends at Free Republic who have helped and supported me and to all the tireless conservative bloggers who have done more genuine, in-depth objective research than all of the elites in the media, academia, think tanks, and government combined.

I wish to especially thank the members of our "Research Team" who have worked tirelessly in this massive effort to expose the lies and deceptions, and give special recognition to Sharon Rondeau of the Post & Email – all of whom are true American patriots in the defense of liberty.

Lastly, I must give all of the credit to G-d who's Love and Guidance has been with me for a long time, and in whose service I am committed. In all things, I have placed my life in His hands, to know what is best for me and for those I love. He has never failed me nor abandoned me. In God, I trust.

More than anyone else, Chiyome Fukino, the former Director of the Hawaii Health Department was the driving force behind Obama's identity fraud. She used her position within the Department to corrupt the election process and effectively disenfranchise millions of voters voted for Obama thinking he was a natural-born American citizen.

Fukino deliberately waited until two days before the Presidential election to make her first press release, which said absolutely nothing about where and when Obama was born. Her second press release made no mention of a birth certificate either.

Fukino also lied to the Hawaii legislature that Obama had "*posted a copy of the certificate on his former campaign website*" when Hawaii refused to confirm that they sent it and refused to authenticate the image of it. Fukino broke the law when she ordered her staff to do illegal background checks on people making FOIA requests.

I did not go looking for a conspiracy – it found me, and the more secrets I discovered about the image, the bigger it got. *Alias Barack Obama* is the systematic accumulation of verifiable facts and resources gathered from several thousands hours of research, thousands of pages of documentation and hundreds of controlled scientific experiments.

The facts have been there in plain sight and that the only thing that mattered to Obama was getting to be President by "any means necessary," and what his father often said. The question is not about Obama's eligibility but his identity and the crimes committed in his name – both real and imaginary. Obama's "*meteoric rise to political stardom*" was more like an asteroid hitting the earth: its shockwaves will be felt for decades to come.

Chapter I: The One is born

It has been more than four years since a little-known Senator from Illinois announced his intention to run for President. The man who called himself as the “*skinny kid with the funny name*” vaulted onto the national political stage by giving a stirring keynote address at the 2004 Democratic National Convention.

The New York Times**Editorials / Op-Ed**

NYTimes: [Home](#) - [Site Index](#) - [Archive](#) - [Help](#)

Go to a Section

NYTimes.com > [Opinion](#)

OP-ED COLUMNIST

A Leap of Faith

By BOB HERBERT Published: June 4, 2004

CHICAGO

Remember the name Barack Obama. You'll be hearing it a lot as this election season unfolds.

Mr. Obama, a Democrat, is tall, thin, youthful and very smart, and he's running (sometimes literally, depending on the schedule) for a U.S. Senate seat from Illinois.

He's got a million-dollar smile and he's charismatic. At the moment he has a substantial lead in the polls. If that lead holds and he wins in November, he'll be only the third African-American to take a seat in the Senate since Reconstruction.

His partisans describe Mr. Obama as a dream candidate, the point man for a new kind of politics designed to piece together a coalition reminiscent of the one blasted apart by the bullet that killed Robert Kennedy in 1968.

That night, Barack Hussein Obama became a celebrity, a super star, and a news media sensation. Almost immediately, the media got the buzz going about Obama becoming a serious Presidential candidate *sometime in the future*. The concern, however, was that the *skinny kid* had an even skinnier resume. [3]

In a January 2007 article, “...for a new idol,” the Washington Times did a double-take on Obama, noting that this “*Political Idol*,” launched his presidential “exploratory committee” concurrently with American Idol’s season premiere. Adjectives like “Idol,” “Rock Star,” and “Celebrity” to describe Obama were an everyday occurrence in the media.[1]

Thursday, January 18, 2007

... for a new idol

Rate this story

Poor

Average 0.00 after 0 votes

Font Size - + Print Email Comment Tweet this! Yahoo! Buzz Share

Article

Comments ()

MORE STORIES

Conan talking to Fox about talk show
Pakistan arrests halt U.N. contacts with Taliban
Diplomats urge resumption of Mideast talks
S.C. gov agrees to ethics fine, divorces

By

That Sen. Barack Hussein Obama Jr. chose the day of "American Idol's" season premiere to launch his presidential exploratory committee is nicely symbolic.

Part of Mr. Obama's attraction and seductiveness -- perhaps the main attraction -- is that he is mostly a blank slate on which others can write what they choose. Now that he has announced formation of an exploratory committee to help him decide whether he should run for president (is there any doubt?), the moving fingers will begin writing soon enough.

The Washington Times article also noted, "*Obama's main attraction is that he is mostly a blank slate on which others can write what they choose.*" His critics saw that "blank slate" to be his main deficit - which he was an empty suit with no experience on which to run for President.

Politics on msnbc.com

Keynote speaker capitalizes on meteoric rise

'Weird convergence' lands Obama on national stage

The media never investigated Obama's shocking rise through the ranks in such a short time. There was no "*weird convergences*" to his "*meteoric rise*" -- inside deals, dirty tricks, power brokers, and leftwing organizations made it happen.

Some writers were smart enough to spot a phony politician when they saw one, like Nicholas Stix, who wrote on August 4, 2004 how for *"the lords of the media-political complex, the Senate is but the beginning of the road for Obama, a road that many power brokers would like to see culminate at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue."*

Stix correctly observed that the singular goal of Obama was to get to the White House by any means necessary: including getting his opponents eliminated before the contest began.

Will Barack Obama be our second "black" president?

By Nicholas Stix web posted August 9, 2004

"The issues don't really matter," says one party fund-raiser. "This guy is the dream candidate." -- *The Economist*, July 15

With the June 25 announcement by conservative Republican Jack Ryan that he was dropping out of the U.S. Senate race for Illinois, and legendary Chicago Bears tight end/coach Mike Ditka's July 14 announcement that he would not serve as the sacrificial lamb of the corrupt, Illinois Republican Party leadership, the seat appeared to fall to Democrat candidate Barack Obama virtually by default. Meanwhile, in what took on the airs of a coronation, Obama was chosen to give the keynote address at the Democratic National Convention on July 27, in Boston. And Obama delivered, with a rousing speech on "[One America](#)," that in a brilliant exhibition of evasive action, tacked to the center, and even brought tears to this old cynic's eyes. And I know Obama's real politics! Just imagine the speech's effect on the ignorant, the credulous, the socialist.

The worshipful tone of establishment media Obama stories has made it clear that for the lords of the media-political complex, the Senate is but the beginning of the road for Obama, a road that many power brokers would like to see culminate at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue.



Not everyone was content to wait for the future; however, after hearing him talk about himself and his vision for America. His speech was supposed to bolster John Kerry's candidacy, but in reality, he was laying the foundation for his own future. After his performance, hardly anyone in the media said a word about candidate Kerry. The only questions in their minds were, "Who is this guy?", "Where has he been hiding?", and "Wouldn't he make a fabulous Presidential candidate?"

After the Swiftboat attacks cast doubt on Kerry's accounts of his military experiences in Vietnam, DNC insiders were secretly looking for a way to replace him with Obama. Had Obama won his bid for Congress in 2000, he would have been the nominee.

In 2006, the move to draft Obama as the 2008 Presidential candidate for President had begun in earnest, although Obama and Company had already started the process long before then.

Lynn Sweet

The scoop from Washington

Draft Obama 2008 Movement Launched. Illinois State Comptroller Dan Hynes urges Sen. Barack Obama to run for president in 2008.

By [Lynn Sweet](#) on September 14, 2006 12:27 PM | [Permalink](#) | [Comments \(66\)](#)

Obama had a habit of telling people that he would not consider running for public office when, in fact, he already had. When he was elected as an Illinois State Senator, he considered running for Congress in 2000. When he failed to get elected, he ran for another term in the Illinois Senate and then considered running for the US Senate.

When he promised to serve out his term as a US Senator, he had already begun thinking about the Presidency: [112]

Obama Says He'll Consider A 2008 Bid for The Presidency Democratic Senator to Decide After Elections

By Dan Balz

Washington Post Staff Writer Monday, October 23, 2006

Sen. Barack Obama (D-Ill.) opened the door to a 2008 presidential campaign yesterday, saying he has begun to weigh a possible candidacy and will make a decision after the November elections.

"Given the responses that I've been getting over the last several months, I have thought about the possibility, but I have not thought about it with the seriousness and depth that I think is required," Obama said on NBC's "Meet the Press." "After November 7th, I'll sit down and consider it, and if at some point, I change my mind, I will make a public announcement and everybody will be able to go at me."

Until yesterday, Obama, one of the brightest stars in the party since he electrified the 2004 Democratic National Convention with his keynote address, had said he planned to serve out the full six years of his Senate term, which would have ruled out a presidential or vice presidential campaign in 2008.

Obama began campaigning for reelection as President with 2 ½ years of service under his belt – or on a par with how long he has devoted to his other stepping stones. The work he was hired to do prior to running for the Illinois State Senate was meant to pad his resume with managerial experience and further his chances as something more than a blank slate. [29][32]

Perhaps the most successful and self-beneficial job he held after Harvard Law School was running Project Vote in 1992 that added 100,000 to 150,000 new black voters to the rolls, in time to help Bill Clinton in 1992 and Barack Obama in 1994. [23]

Chicago Magazine / January 1993 / Vote of Confidence

Vote of Confidence

A huge black turnout in November 1992 altered Chicago's electoral landscape—and raised a new political star: a 31-year-old lawyer named Barack Obama.

By Gretchen Reynolds

A few quick entries in this article are worthy of note. One is a description of Project Vote's parent organization, ACORN (a connection denied by the liberal media). Another was an off-hand comment that Obama was teaching a law class at the University of Chicago (which would later morph into being a "law professor"). The last instance is when he was working as an attorney at Davis, Miner, Barnhill & Galland (becoming a litigator in 1992 for the benefit of ACORN and Tony Rezko who both helped finance his campaigns) [17][23][32]:

As for Project Vote! itself, its operations in Chicago have officially closed down. Barack Obama has returned to work on his book, which he plans to complete this month. He also is teaching a class at the University of Chicago law school, and is an attorney at Davis Miner Barnhill & Galland.

Nineteen years after Obama made a name for himself in Illinois politics, seven years after becoming a US Senator, and four years after running for President, not only has the media failed to answer the most basic of questions about him, they have sought to discredit everyone else attempting to do so – beginning with "*Where he was born?*" and "*Is he even eligible to be President?*" [5] [34]

The indisputable truth about Obama is that he has refused to provide a single shred of valid concrete evidence that would verify his identity, birthplace, parents, education, achievements, religion, ideology, associates, connections, affiliations, funders, and every other claim that has ever been made about him. [4]

Going by the book

By now, everyone is familiar with Obama's memoirs, "*Dreams From My Father*," a book that would turn out to be not all his own. His fictitious entry into the world is the most recounted nativity story since the birth of Jesus. Barack Obama was heralded as a modern-day "Messiah," and called *The One* by his fawning admirers. Everything else about his life and times came straight from his memoirs or by word-of-mouth [67] [83]:

May 18, 2008

THE LONG RUN

The Story of Obama, Written by Obama

By JANNY SCOTT

Barack Obama was a first-time author and rookie politician embarking upon his first run for public office. Hermene Hartman was the publisher of N'Digo, a magazine in Chicago aimed at upscale black readers. As Ms. Hartman tells it, she got a call from Mr. Obama in the fall of 1995 saying he wanted to come and talk. He wanted her to read his newly published memoir.

"*Dreams*" was originally published in 1995 and bombed badly, selling only a few thousand copies out of 15,000. Following his speech at the 2004 DNC, Obama re-released "*Dreams*" and it became an instant best-seller. In 2004 and beyond, the media constantly excerpted "*Dreams*" for stories about Obama as if it was an encyclopedia of his life instead of being a set of memories. Between the first and second editions, there were a number of unsettling changes to the story, the least of which was Obama's use of composite characters:

LYNN SWEET

The scoop from Washington

Sweet column reprise. Obama's book: What's real, what's not

By [Lynn Sweet](#) on February 20, 2007 9:28 AM | [11 Comments](#)

This column was first published on Aug. 8, 2004....

When Barack Obama was only 33, he wrote a memoir, *Dreams from My Father: A Story of Race and Inheritance*.

I remember Obama telling me about his book when he visited my office in Washington back in 1999, when the Democrat was making what turned out to be a failed run for the House of Representatives.

Dan Shomon, Obama's aide, sent me a copy of the book. I tucked Shomon's letter, dated Aug. 13, 1999, inside the cover and stuck the book on a shelf. There it sat until last June. I dug it out and read it because Obama is virtually certain to win election to the U.S. Senate and become a national political figure. Because of Obama's soaring popularity, the book is being reissued, and 50,000 copies will be in bookstores on Tuesday.

Obama said that he had no intention of going into politics when he wrote the book – two lies in one. Little did the media or the public know that "*Dreams*" was not the masterpiece of a memoir written by Obama – but one that had a lot of help from the man who hand-picked him to chair the Chicago Annenberg Challenge and also a spot on the Woods Foundation, the unrepentant domestic terrorist, William Ayers. [14] [168]

Ayers helped Obama write the book just as he helped Obama run for the Illinois Senate in 1993, by getting him inside a circle of very influential and very well-connected people. Obama started lying early in his political career and lying often. [50]

Bill Ayers was the former leader of the anti-government terrorist group known as the *Weathermen*. He has never repented any of the bombing attacks that he and his cohorts committed. In a now-famous interview that appeared in the New York Times on the morning of the 9/11 attacks, Ayers said, "*I don't regret setting bombs. I wish we could have done more.*" More what? Setting off more bombs, what else? [193]

In 1995, in Bill Ayer's apartment, Obama met Alice Palmer who had named him as her successor. He also met Rashid Khalidi, Quentin Young, and some well-connected politicians who shifted his Senate campaign into high gear, ideologically, if not financially. Politifact and Factcheck wrote that Obama was invited to Ayers house for a "party." [39]

And Hitler's *beer putsch* was an Oktoberfest celebration.

Fight The Smears tried to claim that it was not a launch of his 1995 State Senate bid because he made an *announcement* of his intention to run two years earlier as if the two were equal. [188]

The truth is that Obama's *announcements* are meaningless.

He announced many times that he had no intention of running for public office when he intended to run from the time he was a child. On two occasions in the two schools that he attended in Indonesia, he talked or wrote about what he would like to be when he grew up. He replied in both cases, "*I want to be the President.*" [15]

Was this typical of his musings as a child, or was it a part of his programming for the future?

In a press conference held after his 2004 DNC speech, Obama was asked if he would consider running for President in 2008. Obama tersely remarked that he was not "experienced enough," and he chided the reporter that he had "not even served a day in the US Senate." Obama said that he would essentially have to start, "*now,*" as in mid-2004, and that, "*Some people are willing to follow that path, but I am not one of them.*" [24]

Nobody in attendance that day knew just how far back in time Obama and supporters had started the process. Obama wanted to fulfill his destiny of becoming President. After getting into the Illinois Senate in 1995, Obama wasted no time in preparing to seek a Congressional seat in 2000. Had he won that election instead of Bobby Rush, he would have started his campaign for the 2004 Presidential election. No doubt about it. [169]

When it was certain that Obama would win the 2004 US Senate election, his run for the White House began in earnest before he ever served a day in the Senate – which is exactly what he told the reporters he would have to do, and he did it. [32]

In foreign countries ruled by monarchies, future leaders are groomed from birth. The government of the United States was born out of a revolution against a monarchy, and the Founding Fathers enshrined in the *Declaration of Independence* that the Leader of their Country would not rule by Divine decree or by pedigree, but would be elected by and for the people.

Because of the dangers involved in electing a President with allegiances to a foreign country, and with the desire to ensure that the Presidency would not become a monarchy, they established very special and singularly-specific Constitutional requirements for that office – requirements that Obama and his campaign supporters sought to evade before the election and every day since.

What they did, however, was an act of treason. They sought to circumvent the Law by changing the public's perceptions of these Constitutional requirements, by transforming it into a bastardized definition of Article II Section 1, and, in doing so, convince people that Obama was qualified to run for President. [69]

When Obama supporters talk about him winning elections, honestly, it is obvious that they have never heard the truth about his Chicago thug tactics and dirty tricks that got him here.

The Senate seat that Obama sought was originally held by Alice Palmer who vacated it to run for Congress. She handed it to Obama as her successor. When she lost the election, she decided to run for her old seat. Obama challenged every single signature on the petition to put her name on the ballot, thus eliminating her from the race. Even if so much as the dot on the letter "i" was not like the person's signature on a driver's license, that person was removed from the petition. [39]

Welcome to "*Hope and Change, Chicago-style.*"

Chicago Tribune's David Axelrod became Obama's political advisor for the Senate campaign and his Presidential campaign. On two other occasions, Axelrod helped Obama get his primary opponents eliminated from contention. Blair Hull dropped out after Axelrod convinced a judge to unseal his divorce records for the public to see. History repeated itself once again; when it was Axelrod, who got a judge to unseal Jack Ryan's divorce records in a custody dispute and that doomed his campaign. Obama had clear sailing to the Senate and with the good fortune to be the keynote speaker at the 2004 DNC convention, Obama became a "living legend." [156]

His adoring media – who did know about his dirty tricks but did not care - could not say enough nice things about him. When Obama ran for President, the endlessly obsequious media started guzzling his Kool-Aid by the barrel-full. Back then, the media lovingly referred to it as "*Drinking the Obama Juice.*"

Obamarama

The Obama Juice

By Ted McClelland

June 4, 2004

BARACK OBAMA'S POLITICAL director calls it "drinking the juice." Once campaign volunteers "start drinking the Obama juice," Dan Shoman told the New Yorker, "you can't find enough for them to do."

Political writers are drinking the Obama juice, too, and they've gotten so drunk on the Senate candidate they're shouting over each other to offer the most obsequious toast. No Chicago pol has heard this kind of flattery since an alderman compared Richard J. Daley to Jesus Christ.

Obama loves his reviews: he's posted the encomiums on his Web site, obamaforillinois.com. Here are some samples:

Even before Obama had the "good fortune" to have Axelrod eliminate his opponents, he would catch the major break he needed. He would never have stood a chance running against incumbent, Carol-Mosely Braun had she decided against running for reelection as a US Senator:

Sunday, January 26, 2003

Moseley-Braun backs out, Obama in for Senate race

Hyde Park Herald
By Todd Spivak
January 29, 2003

The rumbles, rumors and hearsay have at last been laid to rest.

After months of hedging, career politician Carol Moseley-Braun, the first African-American woman ever elected to the U.S. Senate and a Hyde Parker for some 30 years, announced last Friday she will not seek a rematch with Republican Sen. Peter Fitzgerald in the 2004 election. Instead, she is adding grist to the rumor mill all over again, now saying she is exploring a possible run for U.S. President.

Todd Spivak also used terms like "*media darling*" and "*squeaky-clean*," to describe Obama – about as far away from the real Obama and the dirty tricks he used to punk opponents as a reporter can get [24]:

But Obama, too, has some aces up his sleeve. His impressive credentials as a Harvard graduate and University of Chicago law professor, mixed with his squeaky clean image and progressive politics, has made him a media darling. Since just last week, columnists Eric Zorn of the Chicago Tribune and Laura Washington of the Chicago Sun-Times have both sung his praises and even predicted his victory.

Obama was a part-time teacher not a law professor but that was just the beginning of a totally exaggerated career. Zorn and Washington were not the only Chicago reporters worshipping the young Obama. Here are more quotes from people who were also clueless as to how Obama ruthlessly eliminated Alice Palmer, Blair Hull, and Jack Ryan:

Not many skeletons have been exhumed from Obama's closet. [Salon.com](#)'s "Muckraker" columnist went on a mission to harvest some dirt on Obama's environmental record, but ended up declaring, "This guy is a bona fide, card-carrying, bleeding-heart greenie." Despite openly fretting about his family's financial stress, Obama apparently hasn't accepted any side payments from special interests. "He's absolutely clean," Bouman says. "Nobody can pay for as much as a Coke for him, and that's not the culture in Springfield, Illinois. It's pretty much no holds barred."

Did Bouman expect to see suitcases of money being passed from limousine windows into Obama's waiting mitts? Or was he simply lying like everyone else in the media?

In August 2002, Steve Neal was also a tad too exuberant in proclaiming, "*He could win it all*" more than five months before Obama even announced his intention to run:

Sunday, August 11, 2002

Steve Neal, Chicago Sun Times: Obama could add drama to Senate race

He could win it all. State Sen. Barack Obama (D-Chicago), the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, who teaches constitutional law at the University of Chicago, is hoping to be the 2004 Democratic nominee against Republican Sen. Peter Fitzgerald.

Obama's "*impressive credentials*" in the 2004 Senatorial race had a bad habit of growing more impressive as time went on. In a March 5, 2003 article, Neal wrote that Obama "*teaches law at the University of Chicago*" – the last time it would be reported as such without the future embellishments and exaggerations to come:

Obama, who teaches law at the University of Chicago and was the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, is the most intelligent and articulate contender in a surprisingly strong Democratic field and is likely to gain the most from televised debates. It's no accident that Washington

The "*someone who teaches law*" somehow morphed into "*senior lecturer*" two months before Neal's article came out but found its way to the bottom of Obama's resume:

A community organizer in Harlem and Chicago, Obama received a BA in Political Science from Columbia University and a law degree from Harvard, where he served as the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review.

Obama was elected to the Illinois Senate in 1996, and has been re-elected twice. Earlier, he served as Illinois Executive Director of PROJECT VOTE!, which added over 100,000 voters to the Illinois rolls before the 1992 election.

Married and the father of two daughters, Senator Obama works as a civil rights attorney with the firm Miner, Barnhill and Galland. He is also a senior lecturer at the University of Chicago Law School, where he teaches constitutional law.

Neal also mentions his association (still ongoing) with Miner, Barnhill, and Galland (aka Davis Miner) as a "civil rights attorney." In 1994, he sought to raise the number of black super-majority voting districts from 19 to 24, and, in 1995, he won a case against Citibank for "redlining" that forced them to issue sub-prime mortgages to low-income families. [103]

Notice, also how "*community organizer*" now gets top billing, followed by his *BA in Political Science from Columbia University*, the *law degree from Harvard*, and then the canard about the "*first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review*" – a position that the media inflated like a giant balloon for a Thanksgiving Day Parade.

Obama was the *first affirmative action president of the Harvard Law Review*, doing nothing more for this award than having to write an essay. The basis for his election as president was his essay coupled with a student body heavily influenced by events of the day. That essay has also been withheld, so we have no idea of its content or merit.

The media made this dubious accomplishment into the single most shining centerpiece of Obama's otherwise lackluster college career that got him into Harvard in spite of it.



Future president of the Harvard Law Review

After Obama graduated Harvard, he went to Chicago where he was hired by ACORN to do leadership training. In 1992, ACORN hired Obama to direct PROJECT VOTE: a registration drive to get out the vote in low-income neighborhoods. Exactly how many new voters were added to the rolls seems to have been a moving target. [101]

In an article cited above, 50,000 new voters were added. In the current article, that number is now 100,000 voters added to the rolls before the 1992 election. The added voters were instrumental in getting Bill Clinton elected as President and later Obama as Illinois State Senator. How many of these registrations were legitimate has never been determined. However, given ACORN's history in voter fraud, there is room for concern. [46][84]

The next time we read about Obama's PROJECT VOTE time, he is personally credited with adding "*an astounding 150,000 new registered voters to the rolls*" as the project's "Executive Director:"

In 1992, Obama was tabbed to serve as Illinois Executive Director of Project Vote, a voter registration program that targeted low-income communities of color throughout Cook County. This expansive effort added an astounding 150,000 new registered voters to the rolls.

A 50% increase from one story to the next is astounding. Also "astounding" is the euphemism used for black voters: "*low-income communities of color.*" Omitting ACORN's name from this effort is also "*astounding.*" There is more "*experience inflation*" to come for Obama. His *BA in Political Science* and his stint as a *community organizer* evolved from being a simple resume to highly-embellished political pitch:

Obama graduated from Columbia University with a degree in political science and a specialty in international relations. He worked as a community organizer in some of Chicago's toughest neighborhoods, helping church groups create job-training programs, reform area schools, and improve city services.

A "*specialty in international relations*" was not mentioned before. Obama would go on to cite his childhood in Indonesia as his specialty in international relations. Here we also read that he worked "*as a community organizer in some of Chicago's toughest neighborhoods, helping church groups...etc.*" with one big gaping omission: ACORN. It would be another four years before we would hear about his true involvement with ACORN only to have the media subsequently spike all his ties to them.

Obama's experience just kept getting better and better (and longer) without Obama doing anything. What is ironic here, make that damning, is how Obama's so-called "*transparency*" was a myth that originated during the 2004 Senate election when he began to have all of his records sealed and hidden away from any future scrutiny.

The list includes ten years of school records from Punahou; two years of college records from Occidental; two years of college records from Columbia; two years of college records from Harvard; eight years of State Senatorial records; four years of law records; passport records; selective service registration; social security application, and, of course, his original birth certificate. Obama is a man with no paper trail. [169]

If Obama ever wanted to release a truthful account of his life after "*Dreams*," it would be about the size of a brochure. Obama had (and still has) the thinnest resume of any of the 43 Presidents before him. Yet, whatever he lacked in experience, he exuded the same kind of charisma and oratory style that moved the masses in other times and in other nations. Sadly, many of the people who knew the facts about Obama let their emotions overrule their better judgment at the ballot box.

As evidence of the Obama phenomenon, several reporters asked a number of politicians, public servants, political pundits, and ordinary people who were supporting Obama, to name the most notable achievement that Obama had ever made in his lifetime. Not a single person could come up with anything.

The liberal media were more than sure that an Obama Presidency was in the cards and they were intent on being the ones to deal them (from the bottom of the deck). In the early stages of the campaign, virtually everything that the liberal media wrote about Obama came from Obama, and their stories were recycled into more "puff pieces."

This vicious circle of lies became a liberal media trademark.

During the 2008 Presidential election, John McCain ran an ad mocking the celebrity status and rock star adulation that the media had been showering on Obama going back to 2004.

It must have struck a giant nerve with Obama himself because he had his Campaign launch yet another phony "fact-checking" site in addition to their first one just to counter McCain's ad:

OBAMA CAMP LAUNCHES FACT CHECK SITE

Posted: Thursday, July 31, 2008 5:03 PM by Domenico Montanaro

From NBC's Alex Wall and Katie Mulhall The Obama campaign is launching a Web site to discredit the McCain campaign's recent attacks on Obama, it announced in a conference call this afternoon. Campaign Manager David Plouffe said that LowRoadExpress.com, a title taken from a New York Times editorial, will focus specifically on documenting and fact checking these attacks.



VIDEO: A day after Sen. John McCain mocked Sen. Barack Obama's celebrity status in a TV ad, Obama's campaign launched a new web site to rebut attacks and responded with an ad of its own. NBC's Andrea Mitchell reports. Aides also emphasized that even some Republicans are questioning McCain's tone and tactics. Susan Eisenhower, president of the Eisenhower Group (and Ike's granddaughter), added, "These kind of comments and innuendos have no place in a presidential campaign of this importance."

There is nothing quite like having the most corrupt, deceptive, disingenuous, deceitful, and duplicitous political campaign in history dictate morality to the candidate they are trying to trash.

Then you had the evil media, like Keith Olbermann, trying to claim that McCain was racist by the juxtaposition Obama with Paris Hilton and Brittany Spears: two of the biggest celebrities in the nation who also happen to be blond-haired white women.

From 2004 until the election in 2008, the media could not come up with enough accolades to fill the air time before they started believing their own hype. They had no idea what was to come.

Pot holes on the road to the White House

In 2003, an unknown community organizer by the name of Barack Hussein Obama began a campaign for the US Senate after failing in a bid for Congress in 2000. It was during this run, long before Obama's campaign for President began in January 2007, when reporters first raised questions about his birthplace.

In 2004, the public did not know that Obama was already a media favorite going back to his 2000 campaign. His "surprise victory" in the Illinois Senate (using thug tactics to eliminate his opponents and waltz through the election) garnered him a lot of media attention along with the attention of labor unions, social and economic justice activists, communist and socialist parties, and a large population of Palestinian-Americans.

Even for the people who were said to have known him best, Obama was still a virtual unknown before his coming out party at the 2004 Democratic National Convention.

A curious thing happened in 2004 during Obama's campaign for the US Senate. A number of reliable media sources referred to Obama as "*Kenyan-born*," but there was no effort made to deny them or to paint them as "rumors" or any coordinated media attacks on anyone noting them. Were they hiding them?

Trial and Triumph: Stories Out Of Africa

by OFEIBEA QUIST-ARCTON



Listen to the Story

[Tell Me More](#)

- [+ Add to Playlist](#)
- [↓ Download](#)
- [📄 Transcript](#)

October 9, 2008

text size A A A

Ofeibea Quist-Arcton, NPR's Africa-based correspondent, tells why her beat has proved one of the most interesting this year.

She discusses the stories that have been painful and devastating for many nations on the continent such as the violent political fights that have led to power-sharing deals.

She also describes the stories that have been exciting, including the U.S. presidential race of Kenyan-born Sen. Barack Obama.

THE MONITOR

Uganda: Citizens Form Obama Support Group

Grace Matsiko

16 February 2008

Kampala — Ugandans have formed a group to mobilise support for Kenyan born-senator, Barack Obama for the US presidency.

SECURITY CONCERNS FOR TOURISM AS SENATOR OBAMA JETS INTO KENYA

As Kenyan born US Senator Barack Obama jets into Kenya today as part of his African tour, concerns have once again been raised on the security preparations for other visitors and residents. While Senator Obama will of course be accorded security from the presidential protection details, just a few days ago the Russian Ambassador was hurt

US Presidential Polls: Obama, McCain slug it out today

By SOLOMON ASOWATA WITH AGENCY REPORTS

WASHINGTON – Americans will today go to the polls to elect their next President with Democratic Party candidate, Senator Barack Obama largely favoured to win.

The Kenyan-born Senator will, however, face a stiff competition from his Republican counterpart, John McCain who has taken the presidential battle to the finishing line with vigorous campaign strategies.

SUNDAY
Standard



Big Issue | Financial Standard | Maddo | Pulse | Style | Society

Sunday, June 27, 2004

Kenyan-born Obama all set for US Senate

Kenyan-born US Senate hopeful, Barrack Obama, appeared set to take over the Illinois Senate seat after his main rival, Jack Ryan, dropped out of the race on Friday night amid a furor over lurid sex club allegations.

The allegations that horrified fellow Republicans and caused his once-promising candidacy to implode in four short days have given Obama a clear lead as Republicans struggled to fetch an alternative.



Most of these were from news stories appearing in foreign publications primarily because the media were omitting them.

Yet, some of them were also from well-established US media sources, including *Congressional Quarterly*, the “*highly-respected*” and “*highly accurate*” corporate cousin of the St. Petersburg Times and Politifact, and Snopes, the “*highly-regarded myth busters*.”

However, “truth” in their minds is relative and changeable and only a scrub or a plausibly deniable story away from oblivion.

AMERICANS DECIDE TODAY. GO OBAMA!

By AP - newtimesonline.com
Tue, 04 Nov 2008 | [Print](#) | [E-Mail](#) | [PDF](#) | [Graphics Version](#)
Americas

After almost two years of campaigning, Democrat candidate Barack Obama, Republican, John McCain yesterday brought their train to a halt in their respective home states to await the verdict of Americans.

So far, the odds favour the once underdog in American politics, Obama, the African-American Senator from Illinois State .

A Congressional Quarterly (CQ) politics monitored on BBC put the Kenyan born American ahead of his rival, Senator McCain.



snopes



Barack Obama was born in Kenya

Comment:

Today, we have a new matter before us. There is an article out today on the internet that says that Barack Obama's mother was living in Kenya with his Arab-African father late in her pregnancy.

She was not allowed to travel by plane then, so she Barack Obama was born there and his mother then took him to Hawaii to register his birth.

Obama is not eligible to be the President of the United States of America. This may not be proven in the next few days, but I am sure it will be proven before the General Election.

In April 2008, the Micklesons, aka Snopes, were sure that Obama would be proven ineligible before the General Election. Then, they suddenly changed their tune in June.

New evidence?

No, they simply switched their *nonpartisan* support from Hillary Clinton to a *nonpartisan* support for Barack Obama, and then proceeded to lie about his place of birth just like their *friends at Factcheck and Politifact*, and the rest of the liberal media.

There was no reason for Obama to be concerned during his Senatorial election since foreign-born US citizens can and have run for Congress. When Obama began his run for President, only then did the issue come up and only then did the conspirators and collaborators attempt to squelch what had gone unchallenged.

In 2011, Republican Mike Huckabee was savaged by the liberal media for saying that Obama was born in Kenya. If Huck had done his homework, he could have put all of the media wonks in their place by showing them the previous screen captures.

In January 8, 2006, an article written by Will Hoover and published by the Honolulu Advertiser claimed that Obama had been born in Indonesia:

Posted on: Sunday, January 8, 2006

Duckworth working to win

By Will HooverAdvertiser Staff Writer

Illinois Army National Guard Maj. L. Tammy Duckworth sat in a wheelchair at the paper-strewn kitchen table in her suburban Chicago home doing what she seems to do better than most mortals: focusing totally on the task at hand.

Duckworth is happy to point out that she and Hawai'i-raised Punahou graduate Obama have "a kama'aina connection."

Both were born outside the country — Obama in Indonesia, Duckworth in Thailand — and graduated from high school in Honolulu — Punahou and McKinley, respectively.

That same article was quickly scrubbed after it was discovered in October 2009 and in its place was the same article with Indonesia replaced by Hawaii and a clearly bogus revision date of January 16, 2006:

Posted on: Sunday, January 8, 2006

Duckworth working to win

By Will HooverAdvertiser Staff Writer

CORRECTION: A correction on this story was published on Sunday, Jan. 15, 2006: *Sen. Barack Obama, D-Ill., was born in Honolulu on Aug. 4, 1961. A Page One story last Sunday contained incorrect information about his birthplace.*

Illinois Army National Guard Maj. L. Tammy Duckworth sat in a wheelchair at the paper-strewn kitchen table in her suburban Chicago home doing what she seems to do better than most mortals: focusing totally on the task at hand.

Image

Congressional candidate Tammy Duckworth works the phones at her

Welcome to the Orwellian world. of Obama where going back in time and destroying the historical record is just like 1984. A massive scrubbing campaign began as soon as researchers started turning up articles on Obama that refuted his birth narrative. Plus, his Campaign had an endless supply of empty, but “logically-sounding” excuses to make on a moment’s notice.

Every time Obama or one of his aides was caught in a lie, an “alternative explanation” was soon to follow. Every time the public found a photo or a video of Obama casting him in a negative light, a flurry of “substitute photos and affidavits” filled the airwaves.

One of the big controversies during the campaign was a video of Obama during the playing of the National Anthem half-standing and half-slumping with his hands at crotch level, while Hillary Clinton and Bill Richardson covered their hearts with their hands. The campaign immediately sent out a photo of Obama allegedly taken during the playing of the Anthem. How does a photo can prove that the Anthem was playing at the time?

The liberal mindset has always considered two wrongs to be a right, and they further believe that a wrong followed by a right cancel each other out, regardless of the wrong or right committed. We saw this played out in discussions about the people with whom and for whom Obama has built associations throughout his “outstanding career.”

William Ayers is an unrepentant terrorist, but he is a “wonderful college professor.”

Jeremiah Wright hates America and rich white people, but he is “a wonderful preacher.”

Louis Farrakhan is a rabid anti-Semite but he is “a great asset to the black community.”

Van Jones is a Communist 9/11 Truther, but he is “a role model for inner-city schools.”

Not one of his so-called achievements could have been possible without the money, the connections, the secret deals, dirty tricks, and the conspiracy to hide his credentials. He had the best education (and records) that money can buy. He never had to spend the time to acquire them, not when it was easier to falsify them. If Obama had not been an “African-American with an exotic background,” the world would never have known him.

Obama was not a “Manchurian Candidate,” but he was, and is, a “Manufactured Candidate” created with the “cult of personality” and taught how to run for any office and any politically-expedient position that his enablers could help him secure.

From the day he was admitted to the Harvard Law School, he started his campaign for the president of the Harvard Law Review. From the day he became a leadership trainer for ACORN, and the “Executive Director” for its Project Vote,” he started his campaign for the Illinois State Senate. From the day he became an Illinois State Senator, he started his campaign for Congress.

Had he won, his campaign for President would have started in 2000. From the day he became a US Senator, he started his campaign for President in 2008, and spent 18 months writing “Audacity of Hope” and campaigning for Democrats across the nation.

From the day he was illegally elected as President in 2008, he started his campaign for reelection in 2012. Obama’s life has been and continues to be an endless campaign. He does not know how to do anything else than be a perpetual candidate. If he should be re-elected, then where does he go from there? If he loses, will he run again in 2016?

The unanswered question is “*Why was Obama put on a fast track to the Presidency?*”

Follow the evidence for the answer.

A house of cards on a mountain of lies

My first encounter with Obama, like most of America, came during his keynote address, aka, the *Audacity of Hype*, at the 2004 Democratic National Convention. I had no interest in watching the DNC convention and it was just by accident that I had tuned in at the exact moment when the talking heads could not stop talking about the “guy with the funny name.” I did not even know he was an African-American before he started.

They kept jabbering about how he was “*the new rising star of the Democratic Party*.” I heard the media call him a “*Rock Star*” and a “*Celebrity*,” but when they started into how Obama was going to be the savior of his party and country, I had to see him for myself.

He recited what he wrote in *The Audacity of Hope* (which I had not read yet). When I heard him talk about the Arab-American community after 9/11 and how he “*would stand with them should the political winds take an ugly turn*,” I wondered why he needed to show solidarity with only the Arab-American community, if he, himself, was not an Arab-American or an immigrant. When he made the same speech at Farmington Hills during the primaries, he took a different direction (and used a different dialect):

If there is an Arab-American being rounded up by Dick Cheney or John Ashcroft without due process or Habeas Corpus, that threatens my civil liberties, even though {pause} I am not {pause} an “im-uh-grant.”

“*Barack*” and “*Obama*” are Arabic names no matter where his father was born, be it America, Indonesia, Kenya, or Lithuania. “*Hussein*” is a traditional Muslim name, not an African one, and that explains why the liberal media went out of their way before the election to punish people for even thinking about the name, let alone saying it in public.

From 2004 onwards, I never had an interest in following his rise to fame until he announced his intention to run for President in 2007 and I watched how the media became his cheerleaders on the road to the Democratic nomination on June 3, 2008.

Then, nine days later, the infamous “*scan image of his birth certificate*” appeared on the Internet. I knew it was bogus from the minute I saw it, and I thought that everyone else would think the same thing. I never imagined in my wildest nightmares that, after three years, this forgery would still be around and that it would be left up to me to expose it.

More than half of the country suspended their power of awareness, put their minds on hold, and accepted, as fact and without question, whatever was written on that image. It was not a matter of whether they believed it to be true or not.

They wanted to believe it was true and many still do – including Obama - because they simply refuse to consider that anyone on the conservative side could be right about him.

They wanted to believe that Obama was everything he and the media said he would be, and the media was determined to keep the illusion going because they cannot handle the truth either. Now, however, many former Obama supporters have witnessed, first-hand, that he is the empty suit that many conservative critics had warned he would be.

Yet, despite being the singularly most, incompetent President in history, beating out Jimmy Carter, the far Left in this country would have elected a mop if it meant that they could get their progressive agenda put into law. It worked. The liberal Democrats then controlled both Houses of Congress, the White House, Justice Department, and with the help of their activist judges, they passed the most unconstitutional legislation in history.

No fraud in the world can change that, no matter what is his real identity. The plot to put an imposter in the White House succeeded. The plot to create a marginally competent President based on a stellar pedigree has failed miserably. The man who has achieved nothing in his life by the sweat of his own brow turned out to be a pathological narcissist who began to believe that the endless media hype about him was real and deserved.

The reason why Obama would have his birth certificate forged is the same reason he had his birth announcements forged, his social security application forged, his selective service registration forged, his passport forged, his parents divorce papers forged, along with 130 family photos. Anything that has not been forged is hidden from public scrutiny.

Barack Hussein Obama II is an alias and the man using it is an undocumented alien. All across the country, identity fraud occurs on a daily basis and the guilty are rarely prosecuted. From this perspective, Obama is not alone. Getting so far and so fast, is the exception.

Critics have said that it would take many people in important positions to lie for Obama for a long time. "*Ya think?*" People have no clue just how many people have lied for him, or for how long these same lies have been repeated, *ad infinitum*, by his supporters.

People across the country, including many he never even met, were more than willingly to join the cause to sell their soul for an Obama presidency. Was it the dream of watching an African-American become President, or were the reasons not so noble?

The common denominator among the people who put Obama on a fast track to the White House is that they are pro-Palestinian, anti-Israel, and anti-American, and the criminally irresponsible media knew it and kept it from the public. Theirs is a *politically correct* conspiracy of silence and stupidity by which these connections went unreported.

The most unreported and underreported story in 2008 was Obama's eligibility to be president. Not so for his future opponent, John McCain. Beginning in February 2008, a report appeared in the Washington Post about John McCain's birthplace being in the Panama Canal Zone and, whether or not, his birth in that territory is considered US soil.

Unknown to the public, the issue about McCain's birthplace and Constitutional eligibility would become a test case for Barack Obama's birthplace and Constitutional eligibility.

The plan was for everyone in the media to make it an issue and then put to rest, all the while focusing on the location of his birth rather than on the real requirements for natural born citizenship. The idea was to make the public believe that all it took for a person to be a natural-born citizen and eligible to be President was to be born in anywhere in the United States regardless of the nationalities, birthplaces, and citizenships of his parents.

Although his mother was a US citizen, his father was a British national. The Obama campaign directed the media to ignore the father side of the equation and to focus on the mother. They would convince the public that he was born in Hawaii to a US citizen mother claiming that the laws they fraudulently cited reaffirm his natural-born status.

Not just the public needed to be convinced: members of Congress did as well. They were briefed beforehand. Although they noted that both of McCain's parents were US citizens in a resolution they issued in April 2008, they were also made to believe that Obama was a natural-born citizen and eligible to be president by being born in Hawaii.

The crime here is that everyone in the media focused on John McCain's birthplace, but not because they doubted he was a US citizen: they wondered if he was a natural born citizen based on the requirements of Article II, Section I of the US Constitution.

The media made it perfectly clear that John McCain's parents were both US citizens; and, at no time did they ever say that it was irrelevant to natural-born citizenship. When the resolution was discussed on the Senate floor, this fact was not only reiterated, it was the entire basis for considering McCain to be eligible because the establishment of US military bases overseas came more than 100 years after the Constitution was written.

The media had presented to the public exactly what is stated in Article II Section 1 of the Constitution. Although they noted that it was "vague," they did this to make the public think that the definition of natural-born citizenship was still in doubt, and that no issue has ever come up before the courts regarding the qualifications to be President.

The media focused on other citizenship issues that came before the court such as Wong Kim Ark, but that issue had to do with the 14th Amendment and birthright citizenship as it pertained to individual States, and not natural born citizenship.

The 14th Amendment had actually created a new class of citizens, those who could become naturalized by virtue of being born in the United States, regardless of whether or not the child's parents were US citizens. The media repeatedly made references to it and the outdated 1790 Immigration Act to make people think that it applied to Obama.

Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution states that only a natural-born citizen is eligible to become President. For 215 years, the meaning of natural-born citizen has never been changed or understood in any other way, despite arguments to the contrary.

There are also historical and legal precedents, as established in past Presidential elections and in Supreme Court cases that, to be a natural-born citizen, a person must have been born on US soil to two US citizen parents. Many have tried to change that.

During the 2008 Presidential Election, a chain email circulating on the Internet claimed that one of the candidates was ineligible to be President because he may have been born in a foreign country and is not a natural-born citizen.

Lawsuits were filed in state and federal courts to keep the Senator off the ballots, claiming that he was not constitutionally qualified. These lawsuits were dismissed because the plaintiffs could not show how, individually, they would suffer any harm.

That Senator was John Sidney McCain.

John McCain was born in a small military hospital on a US Naval Submarine Base in the Panama Canal Zone. McCain's father was serving in the Navy with his mother standing by her husband's side. There are people who doubt that the Canal Zone constituted any territory under the jurisdiction of the United States at the time of McCain's birth. Many legal scholars were also invited by the media to weigh in on this legitimate issue.

Between February 27 and September 18, 2008, John McCain's status as a natural-born citizen and Presidential eligibility was being challenged by the media and by plaintiffs such as Alan Keyes and John Hollander in lawsuits filed against him. Although the judge in the Hollander case dismissed it based on "lack of standing" – the same reason cited in every similar lawsuit brought against Obama – the judge in the Keyes case agreed to hear the suit on its merits before ruling on it. Why the difference here?

On April 30, 2008, the Senate passed a nonbinding resolution, co-sponsored by Obama and Clinton, that Senator McCain is a natural-born citizen by virtue of both parents being US citizens at the time of his birth.

So, why was no similar resolution passed for Obama?

Was it because his father was not a US citizen? Was it because one or more Senators doubted that he was born in Hawaii? Was it because getting a less than unanimous vote would be embarrassing?

When Obama's eligibility was questioned, the mainstream media was stricken with amnesia. No references to the resolution for McCain passed in the Senate. No references to the requirement that both parents must be US citizens for their child to be natural-born.

The media did not refer to the lawsuits saying that McCain was ineligible to be President because he was allegedly born in Colon, Panama, or the scholarly arguments that Canal Zone is not really US soil. Not one person was ever derided as a "*Birther*" for saying that he was born in Colon, Panama and not in the Panama Canal Zone, or for wanting to see proof of McCain's actual birthplace.

The news articles on the following pages all cited the material fact that McCain's parents were both US citizens, thus fulfilling the first part of the natural-born citizen requirement. Like McCain, questions about Obama's eligibility began long before the election.

When Obama said that he would run for President in 2007, a reporter was the first persons asking to see his birth certificate. Many people have noted that, regardless of where Obama was born, he cannot be a natural-born citizen because his father was a British subject giving Obama British citizenship at birth.

Any allegiance to a foreign power at birth – especially to Britain - is exactly what our Founding Fathers sought to avoid by defining natural-born citizen as "*born on US soil to two US citizen parents.*" Again, many refuse to accept this as the understood meaning.

People also noted that Obama has never shown a single shred of conclusive, concrete evidence of his birth in Hawaii to neutral, objective, and qualified sources to verify it.

Thus began one of the most trumped-up charges and political attacks in US history: liberals bashing conservatives as being "racists," "lunatics," "conspiracy kooks," "rightwing extremists," and "wing nuts" - and those are just the ones from the media.

"*Rules for Radicals*" was Saul Alinsky's guidebook for community organizers like Barack Obama. "*Ridicule your opponent*" was Alinsky's favorite tactic and it was the weapon of choice by the media to use against anyone questioning Obama's birthplace.

The entire media kept silent on Obama's eligibility issue throughout all of 2008 and half of 2009, despite the posting of a one-sided computer image of a two-sided "*Hawaiian birth certificate.*" In debating McCain's eligibility, the mainstream media seemed to have no trouble talking about the meaning of Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution.

Besides being a devotee of Saul Alinsky, Obama was a contemporary of Columbia University's Richard Clowell and Francis Piven designed the strategy of managed crisis – all ways to destroy the capitalist government and to replace it with socialism. As Obama's advisor, Rahm Emanuel, exclaimed, "*Never let a serious crisis go to waste.*"

Fabricating a fictitious identity for someone who planned to do the same was perfectly consistent with their philosophy and intent to transform America. The liberal media, who were nostalgic for communism, were openly on-board with the concept, if not with the particular methodology used to achieve it: not that they complained, though.

John McCain had a great video ad running in 2008 that summed up the efforts by the media and by Obama, himself, to present his candidacy as a righteous imperative that rivals anything ever said in public by any religious political leader anywhere:



The false flags of Obama's "Republic"

The Obama Campaign, aka Organizing for Obama (OFO), "organized" itself around a two-prong strategy of using surrogates to do all of their dirty work: one group sought to glorify Obama while the other group sought to demonize his opponents. However, OFO already had these groups among the well-established elitists in the mainstream media.

Obama presented a huge conundrum: on one hand, he was totally unqualified and Constitutionally-ineligible to be President, but on the other hand, he was running on lies and distortions to convince the nation that he was the only possible person for the job.

They followed Lenin's advice, "*Repeat a lie often enough and it becomes the truth,*" but followed up with Hitler's take on the "*Big Lie.*" In *Mein Kampf*, a book co-written by Rudolf Hess, Hitler noted that:

In the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie...It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously.

The **Big Lie** was that "because Obama was not an American, he forged a birth certificate to hide his identity, political ideology, and birth place." That lie was expanded by adding other inconvenient truths about Obama that might be discovered like he really is a Muslim and has ties to the Muslim Brotherhood.

The propagandists who ran the Obama Campaign learned their lessons well from the 2000 and 2004 Presidential elections. Many of them felt that Bush had stolen the 2000 election from Al Gore and had not "*gotten over it*" as liberals are wont to say. In reality, if you took away the rampant voter fraud by the Democrats, it would not have been close.

The stinging losses to Bush in both years made them more determined than ever to win the 2008 election by any means necessary. Bush's approval rating had sunk to 32% so making him the scapegoat for all of the country's problems was a no-brainer. Obama is still blaming Bush after being in the White House for 2 ½ years with a record that seems more like what Bush would have done than the "Hope and Change" candidate.

Making other Republicans equally culpable for what Bush did while ignoring what the Democratically-controlled congress had done over the same period was not so easy.

One of the highlights of the 2008 Presidential campaign was the rise of the so-called, "*political fact checkers,*" and other political operatives known as "*opposition researchers.*"

The job of the opposition researchers was to dig up dirt on Barack Obama before the Republicans had a chance to find it, and then to plan a strategy of either squelching it entirely or minimizing its potential impact, whether legally or illegally.

One such group was headed by John Brennan, currently Barack Obama's *Anti-terrorism advisor in the Department of Homeland Security*. During the heat of the campaign, Obama had let it slip out that he and his college friend at Occidental had traveled to Pakistan in 1981 when the State Department was strongly advising citizens against it.

Reporters were surprised by the announcement and began asking Obama why he went to Pakistan and how could he even afford to travel there as a student on scholarship.

Subsequently, a discovery and arrest had been made in a break-in during which someone had accessed the passport files of Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, and John McCain. It was learned that Barack Obama's passport file had been "cauterized" by a person who had worked for Brennan's firm and that rifling through the passport files of John McCain and Hillary Clinton to hide the fact that Obama's files were the target.

One person was convicted and jailed for unauthorized access of governmental records and a witness for the prosecution in that case was found shot to death in his car. The case remains unsolved and no investigation has ever been conducted into Obama's passport files to see what damaging evidence had been removed. Like everything else that Obama did during the Campaign, it was swept under the rug and hidden from view.

Obama's opposition researchers along with his seasoned strategists devised a new type of false flag operation. They would preemptively strike back at all of Obama's political opponents and conservative critics who disagreed with his policies and his dirty-pool politics. I have labeled it, the "*False Smear*."

The idea behind the false smear was to identify anything in Obama's past that could cost him votes in the election. Once they compiled a list of Obama's vulnerabilities, they put them in rank-order going from "Most damaging" to "Least damaging."

The four biggest vulnerabilities on that list were (1) Obama was a Muslim, (2) Obama was not a US citizen at birth, (3) Obama had ties to socialists and anti-Zionists, and (4) his pastor (and mentor) of 20 years preached racist, anti-American ideas.

Obama's race was a major plus and the main reason why he was elected at all, but both Obama and the Campaign chose to make his race and Muslim-sounding name a hot-button issue.

Here are the vulnerabilities that they needed to squelch:

1. Obama's father and stepfather were devout Muslims and he was raised as a Muslim.
2. Obama's middle name was the name of Mohammed's son.
3. Obama grew up in Indonesia, attended two schools where he was registered as a Muslim, and had studied the Qu'ran.
4. Obama associated with Black Muslims, Palestinian activists, and other radical leftists with similar anti-Semitic prejudices.
5. Obama was not a natural-born US citizen.

The outcome of the presidential primaries was fixed. Obama's challenger, Sen. Hillary Clinton, had been pressured into withdrawing from the race even though she was carrying a higher percentage of the popular vote. They also robbed her of delegates from Florida and Michigan for holding their primaries early.

Obama's handlers wanted John McCain to be the Republican nominee for a number of reasons -- the most important of which is that his Constitutional eligibility had already been challenged in two prior elections, in 1998 and again in 2000.

Secondly, they could present McCain as being four more years of George Bush, even though Barack Obama's first term has turned out to be more like Bush's third term than what a McCain Presidency would do.

Because of Bush's unpopularity, all that Obama was required to do was to continually bash and blame Bush for the things that people disliked about him, whether they were true or not.

All throughout his campaign, he blamed Bush for all of the problems in the world, and not just America. The bashing has not stopped, either. In the end, Obama was not running against John McCain, but against Bush with McCain being his clone.

The opposition researchers also knew their base of voters better than anyone. They knew just how gullible they were and how hungry they were to get rid of Bush and his cronies. They knew how to sway them with emotional appeals to high ideals.

They knew they could be won over by people with paper credentials. They knew that they could manufacture facts by having "experts" attest to them and to vouch for Obama.

The plan to put Barack Obama in the White House was not a campaign at all but a conspiracy involving hundreds if not thousands of participants having the same goal in mind: a fundamental transformation of America from an "evil" capitalist and colonial empire into a perfect, utopian "nanny state."

Their opposition, the conservatives and Republicans, operated according to a moral code and a set of values that are absolute and immutable, like our laws based on the 10 Commandments.

The significance of two of them, "*Thou shalt not lie*," and "*Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor*," stand in stark contrast to "*Rules for Radicals*" – their "bible" written by Saul Alinsky and emulated by the man he had trained to be a community organizer and an agent of "social change."

The people who sought to put Barack Obama in the White House used Alinsky's tactics of making conservatives live up to their own rules. So if conservatives were playing by the rules of fair play, and liberals were playing by the *Rules for Radicals*, the liberals could claim that conservatives were not living up to their own rules because their criticisms were *smearing* Obama.

The Obama propagandists pretended as if they were running their campaigns fairly and honestly but their opponents were "*taking the low road*" and "*mudslinging on an industrial scale*."

The sheer hypocrisy of this claim was patently obvious when Obama was telling his audiences that the McCain campaign was going to make them afraid of him, that, '*He's risky. He's got a funny name. And did I mention, he's black?*'

Neither McCain nor any member of his campaign made race an issue - but the intent here was to claim that conservatives were not playing by their own rules, and therefore they could not be trusted to tell the truth. This tactic relates to what I said about the trust in the messenger affecting one's trust in the message.

Putting words in other people's mouths is also propaganda.

Another patented method of political propagandists is getting the listener to rely on straw man logic rather than observable facts. They all begin with the statement, "*Don't you think that...*" in an appeal to rationalization instead of analytical reasoning.

Factcheck, Politifact, and Snopes made it seem that Senator Obama would never do something so foolish as to lie about his citizenship and jeopardize his chances of becoming President because of something that could be "*easily verified by health records.*" Not when his health records are guarded by crooks.

Unbelievably, none of what they said in 2008 has been changed after proving how impossible it has been to get any records from Obama. What else would you expect from frauds?

Politifact's Amy Hollyfield also stated a similar nonsequitur in her "award-winning" fraud of an article, claiming that a "*US Senator on the precipice of the world's biggest job....*" and other total nonsense that would only apply to an honest, true American citizen - not a foreign-born Marxist Muslim imposter.

The underlying premise of these straw men arguments was to claim that a person of color with political power and a liberal mindset would be honest to a fault as would be his campaign.

Using unsubstantiated logic, they made the conclusion that it would be impossible for any politician worth his salt to have strayed from doing things in an open and transparent manner.

But that is exactly the fraud that the Obama Campaign sold to the American public and what Obama continues to promulgate.

Barack Obama promised everything, said everything, and did everything to convince the American people that he was going to be the most honest, the most transparent, the most pragmatic, upstanding, straightforward, and most caring president that this country had ever known. What crapola!

His campaign operatives literally spent every single waking hour of the day reinforcing the idea that Barack Obama was honest to a fault and that he would never lie to the American people.

Obama made a lot of promises to the far-left side of the political spectrum that he also did not keep, but they are not at the point yet of ditching the only progressive that can win in 2012. They were also sold a bill of goods for an empty suit playing both sides.

Throughout the 2008 campaign, Team Obama took the gaping holes his career and biography - holes so big you could steer a tanker through them - and filled them up with falsehoods. They knew that he had two indispensable and universally defensible advantages: one, that his skin color was black and, two, that his name, far from being anything "funny," was Muslim in origin.

The skin color of a conservative is of no importance to liberals, and if George Bush had been blacker than Obama, they still would have paraded their "Kill Bush" and "Bush=Hitler" signs with the same impunity as they always have towards conservatives.

A review of the way liberals attacked Clarence Thomas, a black Supreme Court nominee, Condoleezza Rice, a black Secretary of State, and Colin Powell, a black Secretary of Defense, plus how they recently treated Herman Cain and Michael Steele can leave no doubt as to who are the real racists in America.

Conservatives, by contrast, do not use the race card to score political points despite fraudulent attempts of the Obama Campaign and the media to show otherwise.

The fact that Spencer Ackerman suggested to his Journalist collaborators that they should pick any conservative at random, call him a “racist” and ask why they have a hard time accepting the idea of a black man for President should negate the charge.

When the Journalist scandal was exposed in July 2010, the participants denied the charge that they were doing anything wrong. Is it a shock that those convicted of crimes are the ones who most often say that they are innocent?

Liars and frauds who are caught in a lie will lie even more than before. It is a proven fact of life and of the liberal side of the political divide. You can bet the ranch that it will happen every time. We saw it during the 2008 Campaign and in every day since then.

The first gaping hole in Barack Obama's biography was that he was not born a US citizen. He was not eligible to be president because he was not a US citizen at birth nor was he a natural born citizen as required by the Constitution.

Obama's memoirs, co-written by colleague Bill Ayers, painted him as the son of a Kenyan goat herder who married a white woman from Kansas and left him when he was two years old. This story line has since been completely discredited but the media ignore the fact and they all have repeated it for seven years, including Obama himself.

His memoirs are a fictional account of a life never lived. They were intended to be inspirational for the people who would vote for him. If you examine Obama's life through a political lens, it can clearly be seen that all of his actions, all of his plans, and all of his intentions were devoted solely to positioning himself on a political track towards the upper echelons of government.

Not being a natural born citizen and not being born in Hawaii were the biggest obstacles facing Obama's plans for the Presidency. Naturally, his propagandists made it a top priority.

The second biggest hole in Barack Obama's biography is that he was a Muslim. Being a Muslim in and of itself is not a bad thing. However coming a scant seven years after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, there would be a deep sense of mistrust for someone following a faith that preaches hatred and murder of nonbelievers, even if most who practice it are not like that.

The Muslim issue became a bigger priority for the Obama Campaign than his citizenship issue because it would affect his chances regardless of his birth place and perceived patriotism. Therefore, the Campaign and the media had to create a false flag rumor to eliminate that charge from the political landscape.

The way they did it was to send around a chain e-mail – an anonymous “*vicious rumor*” - accusing Obama of being un-American and a covert Muslim who was part of an overall plan to take control of this country from within and turn it into a Muslim country – which is what the Muslim Brotherhood wrote in their *Strategic Plan for North America*.

Whether they knew it or not, this scenario has more truth to it and is far more believable than his fictional biography. Obama did not need to be a Muslim as he already was a Marxist with a laundry list of associates that included the most anti-American, and anti-Israel Marxists in the country such as Rev. Wright, Bill Ayers, Robert Malley, Samantha Powers, Rashid Khalidi, et al.

All of these his associates were committed to fundamentally changing America by destroying capitalism and redistributing the wealth to the poor as part of their social justice agenda. In addition, they all want to replace Israel with an Arab Palestine.

Their socialist agenda was revealed to the public during a stop on the campaign when a man by the name of Joe Wurtzberger, a plumber seeking to start his own business, asked Obama if he would have to pay taxes as a part of his new proposal.

During Obama's walk among the crowd, Joe asked, "If I want to buy a business that will bring in \$250,000 a year, will my taxes increase if you're elected?" Obama's now famous remark was "*it is good for everyone if we spread the wealth around.*"

The reaction of Obama and his opposition researchers was to have Wurtzberger's private life investigated, to dig through his child support records, and to dig up any dirt on him they could find. These are the kind of actions that are typical of third-world dictatorships and not the Republic of the United States of America.

But since half of this country gets their information from the mainstream media, and since the mainstream media was intent on squelching any negative stories about Obama, this illegal trashing of a patriotic American was suppressed in the news.

What his campaign operatives also decided to do was to take any legitimate criticisms of Obama and turn them pre-emptive attacks that would also be called "*vicious smear tactics.*" The hallmark of every Marxist government that has ever existed is to squelch political dissent by personally attacking dissenters.

The sheer number of "*smears*" that they fabricated, and the substance to them should have been seen for what they were: unsubstantiated lies and outright attempts to chill free speech.

When initially confronted by the McCain camp, the Obama camp initially denied that they had accused McCain of racism: yet, another blatant example of denial. Then they changed that story and said it was not the McCain campaign bringing up the issue of race but some of his supporters.

These were all unsubstantiated accusations. In fact, there were similar false flag incidents occurring all across the country.

The way it worked was to have one of his operatives pretend to be a McCain supporter and then make some kind of a racist statement about Obama during the rally or meeting. America witnessed that deceitful behavior during the 2010 election when an operative said that a Tea Party member spit on a black man.

The accusations were demonstrably false and the revelations from the Journalist prove that many of these false accusations were planned, were intentional, and were designed to circumvent any investigation into Barack Obama's past while undermining the credibility and humanity of Sarah Palin.

Never in the history of this country has a Presidential candidate openly played the race card for as many times as did Barack Obama in the 2008 Campaign. The Obots are still playing it.

Even after more than two years of pretending to be the President of the United States, and failing miserably as a President, the attacks on Republicans are still coming and the race card is still being played with impunity by the media.

Barack Obama was not born in Hawaii as he claimed to be, did not attend the schools and universities he claimed to have attended, and did not do most of the things he claimed he did on the campaign trail. He is the ultimate fraud.

Obama is a Muslim but he lied about that and called himself a Christian (which is permitted in Islam). The Campaign and the leftwing media groups, like *Media Matters*, went a step further by saying that anyone on the Right saying Barack Obama's middle name, Hussein, was a smear. Even Michele Obama called the use of his middle name, the "*ultimate fear bomb*."

How was mentioning his middle name a "*smear*?" Because, according to Leftist logic, they were accusing him of being a Muslim. Where was the outrage among the so-called Muslim civil rights groups who claim that America is "Islamophobic?"

Amazingly, few seem to object to the idea that being a Muslim or having a Muslim name was considered a smear. If Barack Obama is, in fact a Muslim, then calling him a Muslim would not be a smear but a statement of fact. If Obama is lying about being a Muslim, then that is a smear against all of us.

What the leftwing propagandists did was to make the "M-word" be the new "N-word" and nothing could be more blatantly false. Yet conservative talk show hosts like Hannity and Limbaugh let them to get away with these bogus accusations. The name that was the focus of the campaign was Mohammed, not Hussein.

Propagandists in the media, and the emerging "*political fact checkers*," exhibited a very bad habit of revealing to the public what Obama's hidden intentions really were as a way of negating them in the same fashion as in telling the Big Lie.

In their zeal to push the Big Lie, that Obama would hide his birth certificate and other records, that he would hide the fact he is a Muslim, that he would hide his intentions of destroying this country and reshaping it into a Third World socialist Marxist banana Republic, they sold their reasons as way over the top.

Politifact.com, a group or rather any fact checking unit of the St. Petersburg Times newspaper, is the best example of using reverse psychology, reaction formation, and other propaganda tricks to convince people that even pondering the possibility that there is a conspiracy involved in the election of Obama was somehow evil and wrong.

What is unconscionable about saying that Obama is a Muslim and a Marxist if these aspects were demonstrably true?

This country has suffered through Watergate, Rathergate, and many other controversies and genuine conspiracies. The people who lived through them do remember them, even though the news media seems to have a short memory and pretends to ignore them.

For the liberal news media to imply that another conspiracy concocted by political operatives who believe that the end justifies the means, is out of the question should have been instantly recognized as a falsehood itself.

Political warfare

The purpose of a false flag event is to blame the enemy for something they did not do, and planting false rumors by one's own side was commonplace in the 2008 Presidential election. Just as there are agents who infiltrate behind enemy lines, so, too, did Obama's political operatives infiltrate the opposition in a virtual way on the Internet.

There were thousands of blog posts, comments, and chain emails sent out by Obama supporters in an effort to discredit Republicans and Democrats. Online media groups like Factcheck, Politifact, Media Matters, Politico, and Politifact went to great lengths to emphasize the anonymity of people sending out emails, writing in forums and blogs, and posting comments.

However, it is precisely because of the anonymity of the Internet that allows Obama supporters to act like McCain supporters and conservative critics of Obama. A common gambit is to create a bogus message and send it out using the name of a Republican:

Bogus Dowd column spreads quickly

By [Bill Adair](#), [Angie Drobnic Holan](#) Published on Tuesday, July 8th, 2008 at 6:01 p.m.

SUMMARY: Blogs and chain e-mails are spreading a Maureen Dowd column that claims the Obama campaign got suspicious contributions from Iran, Saudi Arabia and China. But the column is a fake.

At first glance, the chain e-mail looks like an authentic column by Maureen Dowd, the Pulitzer-winning *New York Times* columnist. It has her byline and a headline that says "OBAMA'S TROUBLING INTERNET FUND RAISING." Some versions even have Dowd's


Related rulings:

E-mail quotes Maureen Dowd column that Obama has received suspicious contributions from Saudi Arabia, Iran and China.

Chain e-mail, Tuesday, July 8th, 2008.

Ruling: False | [Details](#)

Bookmark this article:



The intention of this false flag is to hide the true troubling facts about Obama's Internet fund raising activities that did come to light from legitimate sources. This is how they created a false rumor from a fact - present it as being genuine, then reveal it as a fake.

Thus, they served a dual purpose by hiding the real covert activities while making the opposition look like fools for believing a bogus column came from Maureen Dowd. The justification for these acts of deceit probably comes from their use in wartime, such as the disinformation spread by the Allies regarding their planned D-Day invasion.

The "*All's fair in love and war*" attitude was successfully adopted to political warfare and would prove to be of most benefit in combating (hiding) the lies (truths) about Obama's lack of experience, lack of judgment, and lack of any proof to his claimed identity.

As is true in military warfare, disinformation has a short shelf life and in order to keep a secret from being known, new disinformation has to be developed. There also had to be multiple sources to feed and verify the same disinformation as it appeared, as well as, developing newer versions to take their place. The state was set for the rise of the new breed of political think tanks and information sources: the online political fact-checkers.